# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: AZ

APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- Form 17 Health System Capacity Indicators (01 through 04,07,08) Multi-year Data
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2004	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER			
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER			
Application Pre-application					
Construction Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER			
Non-Construction Non-Construction					
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION					
Legal Name: Arizona Department of Health Services	Organizational Unit: Community and Family Health				
Address (give city, county, state and zip code)	Name and telephone number of the person to be	contacted on matters involving this			
150 N. 18th Ave., Suite 320	application (give area code) Name: Jeanette Shea-Ramirez, MSW, ACSW;	Office Chief			
Phoenix, AZ 85007 County: Maricopa	Tel Number: <b>(602) 364-1419</b>				
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN):	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate lette	er in box) A			
866004791	A. State H. Independent School District B. County I. State Controlled Institution				
	C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe				
	E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization				
	G. Special District N. Other (Specify)				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:	9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administratio	n Maternal and Child Health Bureau			
New Continuation Revision	Treath Resources and Services Administration	in, maternal and Office Health Bureau			
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es)  A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Decrease Duration Other (specify):					
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER:	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PRO	OJECT:			
93 994	State Title V Block Grant 1 7 2000				
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant  12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities, communities,	╣				
states, etc.): Arizona					
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:	][14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:			
Start Date: Ending Date: 10/01/2004 09/30/2005	a. Applicant b. Project 01, 02, 03, 04, 0	05.06			
	ATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTI				
a. YES, THIS	PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVA				
b. Applicant \$ 4,279,039.00 DATE:	3/2 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON				
b. NO PE	OGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372				
OF	R PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STAT	E FOR REVIEW			
d. Local \$ 0.00					
e. Other \$ 14,375,700.00					
f. Program   \$ 0.00					
Ια ΤΟΤΛΙ   Il¢	PLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GO ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWAR	VERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE AF				
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representative Craig R. Dunlap	b. Title Assistant Director, Business and Financial	c. Telephone Number (602) 542-1034			
d. Signature of Authorized Representative		e. Date Signed			

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

#### FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2004 [Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: AZ 1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: 7,842,357 A.Preventive and primary care for children: 2,548,805 ( 32.5%) B.Children with special health care needs: 30%) 2,352,708 ( (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)] C.Title V admininstrative costs: 784,235 ( 10%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)] 4,279,039 2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424) 3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424) 13,684,737 0 4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424) 14,375,700 5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424) 0 6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424) 7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) 28,060,437 (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) 12,056,360 8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PARTNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL) \$ 40,181,833 (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title V program) 0 a. SPRANS: 100,000 b. SSDI: 100,000 c. CISS: 1,056,905 d. Abstinence Education: 0 e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: 0 g. WIC: 72,208,184 h. AIDS: 0 0 i. CDC: 0 j. Education: k. Other: AZ EARLY INTERVENTI 527,494 CHILD FATALITY 148,000 CRS 32,668,102 EARLY HEARING DETER 146,549 FAMILY VIOLENCE 1.665.286 KIDS CARE 2,668,686 PHBG 1,623,978 RAPE PREV ED 753,834 UNIVERSAL NB HEARIN 236,587 113,903,605 10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9) 11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL 154,085,438

(Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: OtherFunds Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name:
Year: 2005
Field Note:
We will receive additional state and local funding as a result of our collaboration with other state government agencies and charitable foundations. These funds are used as a match for other federal funds.

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2	FY 2005		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED		
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$7,438,343	\$	\$8,428,977	\$0	\$	\$0		
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$ 980,809	\$ 4,924,315	\$ 3,925,995	\$0	\$	\$0		
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 16,121,481	\$ 13,669,438	\$ 14,208,091	\$0	\$ 13,684,737	\$0		
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ <u> </u>	\$0		
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 12,020,470	\$13,187,488	\$12,973,984	\$0	\$ 14,375,700	\$0		
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$36,561,103	\$34,702,471	\$39,537,047	\$0	\$40,181,833	\$0		
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)			
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 108,040,282	\$ 94,146,853	\$ 101,648,661	\$0	\$ 113,903,605	\$0		
9 Total	\$ 144,601,385	\$ 128,849,324	\$ 141,185,708	\$0	\$ 154,085,438	\$0		
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)				

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2002		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$2,485,259	\$	\$	\$	\$2,511,984	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$166,784	\$4,089,676	\$ 925,928	\$	\$ 954,942	\$	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 13,356,337	\$ 13,190,828	\$ 13,619,090	\$ 14,210,898	\$ 14,388,531	\$ 12,894,930	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ <u> </u>	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$10,600,282	\$10,337,277	\$ 10,428,583	\$ 10,404,305	\$11,606,041	\$15,818,070	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$31,208,453	\$30,103,040	\$32,173,963	\$ 32,233,101	\$34,149,876	\$ 35,522,507	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$93,144,893	\$82,442,324	\$ 92,285,135	\$ 98,408,176	\$ 94,361,973	\$ 90,656,791	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$124,353,346	\$ 112,545,364	\$ 124,459,098	\$ 130,641,277	\$ 128,511,849	\$ 126,179,298	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted,

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Arizona state funds (match and overmatch) is \$12,894,930, surpassing our state's maintenance of effort level in FY1989 \$12,056,360. However it is less than budgeted because of state funding cuts due to a slumping state economy.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Arizona state funds (match and overmatch) is \$13,669,438, surpassing our state's maintenance of effort level in FY1989 \$12,056,360. However it is less than budgeted because of state funding cuts due to a slumping state economy.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2002

Field Note:

The other funding support for year 2002, in the amount of \$15,818,070, came from our collaboration with the state government agencies that supplemented CRS and Teen Pregnancy Prevention programs. The increase in FY2002 is the result of time limited tobacco settlement funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The other funding support for year 2002, in the amount of \$13,187,488, came from our collaboration with the state government agencies that supplemented CRS and Teen Pregnancy Prevention programs. The increase in FY2003 is the result of time limited tobacco settlement funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY:	2003	FY:	2004	FY 2005		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$3,037,661	\$3,133,217	\$ 3,693,481	\$0	\$ 3,472,063	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 6,287,030	\$ 6,665,684	\$	\$0	\$	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 8,633,353	\$	\$	\$0	\$ 6,855,358	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 17,859,225	\$ 16,523,903	\$ 19,531,663	\$0	\$ 21,949,423	\$ <u> </u>	
e. Others	\$ <u> </u>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$	\$\$580,805	\$842,897	\$0	\$ 784,235	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 36,561,103	\$ 34,702,471	\$ 39,537,047	\$0	\$ 40,181,833	\$ <u> </u>	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c		esponsible for admini		program).			
	\$0		\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$ 100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$670,000		\$ 1,056,905		\$ <u>1,056,905</u>		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 73,407,219		\$ 62,408,358		\$ 72,208,184		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$151,973		\$0		\$0		
j. Education	\$ <u> </u>		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
AZ EARLY INTERVENTIO	\$0		\$ 752,901		\$ 527,494		
CHILD FATALITY	\$0		\$0		\$ 148,000		
CRS	\$31,889,048		\$ 30,475,376		\$ 32,668,102		
EARLY HEARING DETECT	\$0		\$ 146,557		\$ 146,549		
FAMILY VIOLENCE	\$0		\$1,644,095		\$1,665,286		
KIDS CARE	\$0		\$\$		\$2,668,686		
PHBG	\$1,604,181		\$1,623,978		\$1,623,978		
RAPE PREV ED	\$0		\$ 745,241		\$ 753,834		
UNIVERSAL NB HEARING	\$ 217,861		\$ 241,476		\$ 236,587		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$108,040,282		\$ 101,648,661		\$ 113,903,605		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2002	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$4,575,367	\$ 4,074,152	\$ 4,319,105	\$3,421,304	\$4,812,767	\$2,898,708
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 6,020,088	\$ 6,922,363	\$ 6,892,452	\$ 5,627,525	\$ 6,879,215	\$5,772,079
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$3,159,768	\$	\$3,670,254	\$4,539,057	\$3,088,089	\$ 8,901,242
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$16,744,725	\$15,796,463	\$16,433,441	\$ 17,925,179	\$ 18,318,810	\$ 17,402,415
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$ 138,675	\$0	\$ 330,959	\$0
f. Administration	\$ 708,505	\$ 720,036	\$ 720,036	\$ 720,036	\$ 720,036	\$ 548,063
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 31,208,453	\$ 30,103,040	\$ 32,173,963	\$ 32,233,101	\$ 34,149,876	\$35,522,507
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	·	esponsible for admini		program).		
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$ 0	
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000	
c. CISS	\$ 75,000		\$0		\$ <u> </u>	
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 2,893,333		\$ 3,395,333		\$ 4,070,333	
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$ <u> </u>	
f. EMSC	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$0	
g. WIC	\$ 66,611,387		\$ 65,340,574		\$ 67,023,902	
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0	
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0	
k.Other						
CRS	\$ 23,365,173		\$ 20,697,771		\$ 21,369,800	
PHBG	\$0		\$0		\$1,604,181	
UNIVERSAL NB HEAR	\$0		\$0		\$ <u>193,757</u>	
Early Childhood \$278,310	\$0		\$ 2,651,457		\$0	
Genetics \$73,449	\$0		\$0		\$0	
PHBG \$2,299,698	\$0		\$0		\$ <u> </u>	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 93,144,893		\$ 92,285,135		\$ 94,361,973	

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award

amount and program changes that occur.

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2005		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 17,858,358	\$ 17,517,082	\$ 19,626,009	\$0	\$ 21,873,255	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 1,775,325	\$1,545,239	\$1,948,758	\$0	\$ 1,398,903	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 9,427,005	\$ 8,482,268	\$ 7,876,836	\$0	\$ 6,377,326	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$ 7,500,415	\$	\$10,085,444	\$0	\$ 10,532,349	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$36,561,103	\$34,702,471	\$39,537,047	\$0	\$40,181,833	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2000	FY :	2001	FY 2002	
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 16,241,555	\$ 14,960,501	\$ 16,129,508	\$ 17,079,408	\$ 17,735,619	\$ 18,116,358
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,110,049	\$	\$1,408,441	\$1,522,961	\$1,390,438	\$1,505,443
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 7,292,205	\$	\$ 7,531,190	\$5,773,337	\$	\$ 9,366,017
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,564,644	\$6,937,004	\$	\$	\$	\$6,534,689
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$31,208,453	\$30,103,040	\$32,173,963	\$32,233,101	\$34,149,876	\$35,522,507

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

FORM 6									
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS A	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CAS	ES CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED			
		(	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)						
			STATE: AZ						
04.054									
Total Births by Oc	currence:	91,054			Reporting Y	ear: 2003			
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	ast one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that			
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%			
Phenylketonuria	87,840	96.5	77	4	4	100			
Congenital Hypothyroidism	87,840	96.5	1,002	46	46	100			
Galactosemia	87,840	96.5	58	0	0				
Sickle Cell Disease	87,840	96.5	9	9	9	100			
Other Screening	(Specify)								
Biotinidase Deficiency	87,840	96.5	14	1	1	100			
Homocystinuria	87,840	96.5	55	1	1	100			
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	87,840	96.5	541	8	8	100			
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	87,840	96.5	7	0	0				
Newborn Hearing Screening	89,233	98	3,314	0	0				
Screening Progra	ıms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)					
Pap Smears	29,630		0	0	0				
Pregnancy Tests	37,852		0	0	0				
Denver or HOME Screens	3,605		0	0	0				
Developmental Screens	460		0	0	0				
(1) Use occurrent I (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	se from resident	births.							

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The testing methodology for this test is good and there are very few, if any, false positives.

Section Number: Other Screening Types Field Name: Other

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported for Newborn Hearing Screening were estimated based on 41 out of 45 birthing hospitals. While all 45 birthing hospitals have universal screening programs, reporting to the ADHS is voluntary, and not all report. Among the 79,267 births at these 41 hospitals, 68,873, or 98% were screened. The best estimate of the numerator for this measure on a statewide basis is 98% of all births: 91054 x .98 = 89,233.

3. Section Number: Screening Programs for Older Children and Women

Field Name: OtherWomen Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Additional screenings for Women and Older Children:

School hearing screenings: 483,930 screened; 10,104 referred. School vision screenings: 490,637 screened; 41,297 referred.

HIV/STD: 33,151 screened.

Presumptive positives are not available for pregnancy tests, pap smears, or HIV/STD. The numbers of these tests are from the Title V and Title X providers. Screening results are not available for Denver, HOME, or developmental screenings.

## Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (By Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE						
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %		
Pregnant Women	10,380	22.0	0.0	5.2	5.2	67.7		
Infants < 1 year old	95,512	3.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	95.2		
Children 1 to 22 years old	537,382	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	99.7		
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	23,231	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
Others	7,750	1.7	0.2	1.0	0.9	96.2		
TOTAL	674,255							

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_TS
Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served Year: 2005

Field Note:

Insurance status is known primarily for Health Start and Maternal Transport Services.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Insurance status is known primarily for the Neonatal Intensive Care Program.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

For children 1-22 years of age, the count of service recipients may be inflated due to an inability to unduplicate children receiving hearing and vision screenings from oral

health service recipients.

#### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2003

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown	
DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	89,461	74,240	2,963	5,832	2,421	62	3,538	405	
Title V Served	87,840	72,933	2,924	5,690	2,381	61	3,451	400	
Eligible for Title XIX	45,305	37,143	1,760	3,110	589	32	2,507	164	
INFANTS									
Total Infants in State	90,783	75,376	3,022	5,881	2,461	63	3,567	413	
Title V Served	90,783	75,376	3,022	5,881	2,461	63	3,567	413	
Eligible for Title XIX	45,791	37,580	1,793	3,140	550	33	2,525	170	

#### **II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY**

			HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
50,226	39,235		36,888	63	268	781	1,235
50,937	38,524						38,524
17,063	28,242		26,897	20	111	476	738
51,094	39,689		37,322	63	273	784	1,247
51,094	39,689		37,322	63	273	784	1,247
17,282	28,551		27,197	20	113	477	744
	50,226 50,937 17,063 51,094 51,094	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         Total Hispanic or Latino           50,226         39,235           50,937         38,524           17,063         28,242           51,094         39,689           51,094         39,689	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         Total Hispanic or Latino         Ethnicity Not Reported           50,226         39,235	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         (B) Total Hispanic or Latino         (C) Ethnicity Not Reported         (B.1) Mexican           50,226         39,235         36,888           50,937         38,524         26,897           17,063         28,242         26,897           51,094         39,689         37,322           51,094         39,689         37,322	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         (B) Total Hispanic or Latino         (C) Ethnicity Not Reported         (B.1) Mexican         (B.2) Cuban           50,226         39,235         36,888         63           50,937         38,524         26,897         20           17,063         28,242         26,897         20           51,094         39,689         37,322         63           51,094         39,689         37,322         63	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         (B) Total Hispanic or Latino         (C) Ethnicity Not Reported         (B.1) Mexican         (B.2) Cuban         (B.3) Puerto Rican           50,226         39,235         36,888         63         268           50,937         38,524         26,897         20         111           17,063         28,242         26,897         20         111           51,094         39,689         37,322         63         273           51,094         39,689         37,322         63         273	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino         (B) Total Hispanic or Latino         (C) Ethnicity Not Reported         (B.1) Mexican         (B.2) Cuban         (B.3) Puerto Rican         (B.4) Central and South American           50,226         39,235         36,888         63         268         781           50,937         38,524         26,897         20         111         476           17,063         28,242         26,897         20         111         476           51,094         39,689         37,322         63         273         784           51,094         39,689         37,322         63         273         784

The Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander category includes Hawaiian only. Chinese, Japanese, Filipino, and Other Asian and Pacific islander are included in totals for Asian

The numbers reported as not Hispanic or Latino include those designated as unclassifiable.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Total all races is the number of babies receiving newborn screening. Race and ethnicity are not captured by the Newborn Screening Program; however, a very high percentage of all births receives newborn screening. Therefore, the number of deliveries receiving Title V services within each racial and ethnic category is estimated to be the total number of Title V served times the percent of all deliveries in the state represented by that racial group.

2. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Title V served for all races is the total number of deliveries in the state plus infants under age one served by clinic or nursing through the High Risk Perinatal Program that were not enrolled in the hospital. This number is higher than the total number of infants estimated to be in the state, which the TVIS program will not allow to be entered because it considers it to be erroneous. Therefore, the number of Title V served is estimated to be the same as the number of infants in the state. However, it is quite possible that there were more deliveries in the state throughout the year than there are infants living in the state at any point in time.

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AZ

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Children's Information Center				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Kathryn Butler	Kathryn Butler
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 220-6550	(602) 220-6550
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period			2,159	988	1,281

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: AZ

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Kathryn Butler	Kathryn Butler
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 220-6550	(602) 220-6550
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	6,442	7,992	8,280

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2005 [Sec. 506(A)] STATE: AZ

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters)

The Arizona Department of Health Services was established as the State Public Health Agency in 1973 under A.R.S. Title 36 and is designated as Arizona's Title V Agency. The Bureau of Community and Family Health Services, in which the Office of Women's and Children's Health and the Office for Children with Special Health Care Needs are located, provides policies and standards development, comprehensive planning, community organization and development, training and technical assistance, and direct provision of screening, preventive and curative services. Services provided through the Title V program include, but are not limited to, the statewide Newborn Screening, Universal Newborn Hearing Screening, High Risk Perinatal Services, Children's Rehabilitative Services, community development, Community Grants Initiative, Family Planning, Hotline, Health Start, Medical Home Project, and Traumatic Brain Injury Project.

Block Grant Funds			
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	7,842,357	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	4,279,039	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	13,684,737	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	14,375,700	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	40,181,833	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:			hospitals
			community health centers
			Raising Special Kids
<ol> <li>Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)</li> <li>Pregnant Women</li> </ol>	\$	10,380	county health departments
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	95,512	
•	Ψ	537,382	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	<u>ъ</u>	·	
d. CSHCN	\$	23,231	
e. Others	\$	7,750	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Telemedicine: The OCSHCN, in partnership with the University of Arizona, the CRS Regional Centers for Excellence and the parent-leaders, is implementing a plan to expand the use of this technology to make specialty services more accessible to CSHCN and their families living in rural areas of the state.Improving the Dissemination of Information to Families of CSHCN: The OCSHCN, in partnership with parents, community leaders, providers, and the CRS Regional Centers for Excellence hold biannual meetings to improve communication and service delivery coordination. These meetings provide opportunities for networking, planning, and problem solving.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

School Vision Screening: The School Hearing and Vision Screening Program collaborated with the Prevent Blindness Association to update school vision screening guidelines. Ready to Learn: OWCH financially supported the training on and distribution of a new video about early brain development made by and for Native American communities, which has gained interest and attention nationwide. OWCH supported Mesa United Way in providing training on the video to people throughout the state.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Women's Health: The OWCH supported the establishment of a Women's Health Policy Advisor to the Governor, and worked to enable a statewide planning initiative to occur with the Governor's Commission on the Health Status of Women. Focus areas include: prenatal care, family planning/teen pregnancy, access to care, and health concerns/awareness. State Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Grant: The OWCH worked in partnership with the Governor's Office to submit the application for the SECCS to develop strategies to better integrate early childhood services and to develop a statewide Early Childhood Systems Plan. ADHS was awarded \$100,000 per year for two years beginning July 1, 2003. Funds were used to provide support to the Governor's School Readiness Board.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Jeanette Shea-Ramirez, MSW, ACSW	Name	Cathryn Echeverria
Title	Chief, OWCH	Title	Chief, OCSHCN
Address	150 N. 18th Avenue, Suite 320	Address	150 N. 18th Avenue, Suite 330
City	Phoenix	City	Phoenix
State	AZ	State	AZ

		Zip	85007
Phone	(602) 364-1419	Phone	(602) 542-1860
Fax	(602) 364-1494	Fax	(602) 542-2589
Email	shearaj@hs.state.az.us	Email	cecheve@hs.state.az.us
Web		Web	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

#### FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]

STATE: AZ

PERFORMANC	E MEASURE	# <b>01</b>

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

e appropriate follow up as defined by	their State.				
		Annual Ol	bjective and Perforn	nance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective				100	100
Annual Indicator				100.0	100.0
Numerator				59	69
Denominator				59	69
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual O	bjective and Perforn	nance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	e Objectives for the ab re year data.	oove years. Numerato	or, Denominator and A	nnual Indicators are

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

			Annual Objective	ve and Performance [	<u>Data</u>		
	1999	2000	2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective					51.4		52
Annual Indicator					51.4		51.4
Numerator							
Denominator							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		Final	
			Annual Objective	ve and Performance [	<u>Data</u>		
	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective		53	54	55	56		57

**Annual Indicator** 

Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	o receive coordinate	d, ongoing, comprel	hensive care within a r	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective				50.5		50.5
Annual Indicator				50.5		50.5
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	51	51.5	52	52.5		53
Numerator	not required for futu	ıre year data.	above years. Numera	,		uis a
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futu	ıre year data.				
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futu	ure year data.		pay for the services t		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futu	ure year data.	or public insurance to	pay for the services t		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futures ose families have ac	ure year data.  dequate private and/	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSH	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who carry	not required for futures ose families have ac	dequate private and/	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2001	pay for the services t rmance Data 2002	hey need. (CSH	HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures ose families have ac	ure year data.  dequate private and/  Annual  2000	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for futures ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8 60.8	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for futures ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore  2001	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8 60.8	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have accepted by the second	dequate private and/ Annual 2000 Annual	Objective and Perform	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8 60.8 Final	hey need. (CSH	HCN 61
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004  Please fill in only the	Annual 2005	Objective and Perform	pay for the services to transce Data 2002 60.8 60.8 Final 2007 61	hey need. (CSH 2003 Final	61 60.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	amilies report the co	ommunity-based	service systems are or	ganized so they can us	e them easily. (CSH
		Annu	al Objective and Perf	ormance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective			_	70.9	71
Annual Indicator			_	70.9	70.9
Numerator			_		
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annu</u>	al Objective and Perf	ormance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	72		73 74	75	76
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for t	he above years. Nume	rator Denominator and	d Annual Indicators a
	not required for futu		no abovo youro. rvamo	rator, Bonominator and	27 milai maioatoro a
Denominator					
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06					
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	sary to make trans	sition to all aspects of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv	ey)
		<u>Annu</u>	al Objective and Perf	ormance Data	
			<u>-</u>		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					<b>2003</b>
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator				5.8	
·				5.8	6
Annual Indicator				5.8	6
Annual Indicator  Numerator				5.8	6
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator				5.8 5.8	5.8
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator				5.8 5.8 5.8	5.8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2004		al Objective and Perf	5.8 5.8 Final  ormance Data 2007	6 5.8 Final
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2004	Annu	al Objective and Perf	5.8 5.8 Final  ormance Data 2007	5.8 Final
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004 6	Annu 2005	al Objective and Perf	5.8 5.8 Final  ormance Data 2007 6 6	6 5.8 Final 2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07					
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	appropriate immun	izations against Me	asles, Mumps, Rubella	, Polio, Diphtheria, Te	etanus, Pertussis,
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	70	70	70	70	7
Annual Indicator	65.9	67.2	65.7	69	7:
Numerator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	71	71	72	72	7
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	o Objectives for the	ahaya yaara Nymara	tor Donominator and	Annual Indiantors
Numerator	not required for futu	ie Objectives for the ure vear data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	•	-			
EDECOMANCE MEASURE # 09					
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	1999	Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	2003
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.			2001		
ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	46	2000	2001	2002	4
he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	46	<b>2000</b> 45	2001 44 37.8	2002	4
the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	46 43.6 4,229	<b>2000</b> 45 41.1	2001 44 37.8 4,080	2002 43 35.5	4
he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	46 43.6 4,229	45 41.1 4,284	2001 44 37.8 4,080	2002 43 35.5 3,952	2003 4 35.
he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	46 43.6 4,229	45 41.1 4,284	2001 44 37.8 4,080	43 35.5 3,952 111,218	35.
he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	46 43.6 4,229	45 41.1 4,284 104,344	2001 44 37.8 4,080	2002 43 35.5 3,952 111,218 Final	35.
he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	46 43.6 4,229	45 41.1 4,284 104,344	2001 44 37.8 4,080 107,846	2002 43 35.5 3,952 111,218 Final	35.
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	46 43.6 4,229 96,952	45 41.1 4,284 104,344 Annual	2001 44 37.8 4,080 107,846 Objective and Perfor 2006	2002 43 35.5 3,952 111,218 Final	35. Provisional
The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	46 43.6 4,229 96,952 2004	45 41.1 4,284 104,344 Annual 2005	2001 44 37.8 4,080 107,846 Objective and Perfor 2006	43 35.5 3,952 111,218 Final mance Data 2007 38	35 Provisional 2008
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	46 43.6 4,229 96,952 2004	45 41.1 4,284 104,344 Annual 2005	2001 44 37.8 4,080 107,846 Objective and Perfor 2006	43 35.5 3,952 111,218 Final mance Data 2007 38	35 Provisional 2008

ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	nanent molar tooth.			
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	11.5	12	12.5	13	3
Annual Indicator	29	29	29	29	36.
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	0004		Objective and Perfor		0000
AIB.d	2004	2005	<b>2006</b> 30	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	30	30	30	30	
Annual Indicator	Diagram fill in and other	- Obia-45 fan 4b	- h Nl	D	A
Numerator	not required for future	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futi	iro voar data			
	not roquirou for fatt	ile year data.			
Denominator	not required for fact	are year data.			
Denominator	Thor roquirou for ruce	ure year data.			
Delioninator	Tiot roquilou for rute	are year data.			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10			en.		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		es per 100,000 childre		mance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		es per 100,000 childre	en.  Objective and Perfor  2001	mance Data 2002	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	notor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childre	Objective and Perfor		
rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective	notor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childre Annual ( 2000 7.1	Objective and Perfor 2001	2002	5
rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 7.5 5.8	es per 100,000 childre  Annual (  2000  7.1  5.8	2001 6.7 5.5	<b>2002</b> 6.3	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 7.5 5.8	2000 7.1 5.8	2001 6.7 5.5	6.3 4.8	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 7.5 5.8	es per 100,000 childre  Annual (  2000  7.1  5.8	2001  6.7  5.5  65	2002 6.3 4.8 59	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 7.5 5.8	2000 7.1 5.8	2001  6.7  5.5  65	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 7.5 5.8	2000  7.1  5.8  62  1,073,045	2001  6.7  5.5  65	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721 Final	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 7.5 5.8	2000  7.1  5.8  62  1,073,045	2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721 Final	5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	7.5 5.8 59 1,022,514	2000  7.1  5.8  62  1,073,045	2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721 Final	4. Provisional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	7.5 5.8 59 1,022,514	2000  7.1  5.8  62  1,073,045  Annual 6  2005  5.9	2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772   Objective and Perfor 2006  5.9	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721 Final  mance Data 2007 5.9	Provisional  2008
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	7.5 5.8 59 1,022,514	2000  7.1  5.8  62  1,073,045  Annual 6  2005  5.9  The Objectives for the a	2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772   Objective and Perfor 2006  5.9	6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721 Final  mance Data 2007	Provisional  2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		73	74	80	8
Annual Indicator	77.7	78.6	80.1	78.4	78.
Numerator					
Denominator					1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	80	80	80	80	8
Annual Indicator					
		ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Hamerator	not required for fut	ura vaar data			
Denominator	Thou required for full	ure year data.			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	hospital discharge.	Annual	Objective and Perfor		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 centage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.	Annual (	2001	2002	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	hospital discharge.	Annual			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 centage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.	Annual (	2001	2002	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 centage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge.  1999  60  66.7	Annual ( 2000 85	<b>2001</b> 85	<b>2002</b> 85	98
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 centage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge.  1999  60  66.7  53,661	Annual (2000 85 91.2	2001 85 93.3	2002 85 97.9	98
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.  1999  60  66.7  53,661  80,505	Annual (2000)  85  91.2  77,500	2001 85 93.3 79,470	2002 85 97.9 85,368	2003 98. 89,23 91,05
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  1999  60  66.7  53,661  80,505	Annual (2000)  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	93.3 79,470 85,213	85 97.9 85,368 87,200 Final	98 89,23 91,05
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  1999  60  66.7  53,661  80,505	Annual (2000)  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	2001 85 93.3 79,470	85 97.9 85,368 87,200 Final	98 89,23 91,05
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  1999 60 66.7 53,661 80,505	Annual (2000)  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	2001  85  93.3  79,470  85,213  Objective and Perfor	85 97.9 85,368 87,200 Final	98 89,23 91,05 Final
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	hospital discharge.  1999 60 66.7 53,661 80,505	Annual 6  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985  Annual 6  2005	2001  85  93.3  79,470  85,213  Objective and Perfor 2006  98	85 97.9 85,368 87,200 Final  mance Data 2007 98	98. 89,23 91,05 Final
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.  1999 60 66.7 53,661 80,505	Annual (2000)  85 91.2 77,500 84,985  Annual (2005) 98	2001  85  93.3  79,470  85,213  Objective and Perfore 2006	85 97.9 85,368 87,200 Final  mance Data 2007 98	98 89,2 91,0 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of children without health insurance.					
			I Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		17		14	1
Annual Indicator				14.7	14.
Numerator			· ——		
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		A	I Objective and Barton	rmanas Data	
	2004	2005	I Objective and Perfor 2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	14	14	14	14	
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua	l Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Increment of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second control of the	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the large fill in only the lar	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of p	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the large	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000	Objective and Perfor   2001   66   66   69.3	rmance Data 2002 67	<b>2003</b>
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the large	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2	I Objective and Perfor 2001 6 66 2 69.3 3 246,830	7mance Data 2002 67 73.6	2003 6 72 366,27
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the large for fut not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2	I Objective and Perfor 2001 6 66 2 69.3 3 246,830	73.6 317,629	2003 6 72 366,27
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential seco	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the large for fut not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2  243,498  351,748	1 Objective and Perfor 2001 6 66 2 69.3 3 246,830 9 356,179	73.6 317,629 431,697	2003 6 72 366,27 508,77
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential se	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the large for fut not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2  243,498  351,748	I Objective and Perfor 2001 6 66 2 69.3 3 246,830	73.6 317,629 431,697	2003 6 72 366,27 508,77
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential seco	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the laservice paid by the la	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2  243,498  351,749	Objective and Perform   2001	73.6 317,629 431,697 Final	2003 72 366,27 508,77
Reformance Measure # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the laservice paid by the la	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2  243,498  351,748  Annua  2005	Objective and Perform   2001	Final  Final	2003 72 366,27 508,77 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially denoted by the control of potential second of potential second or pot	Please fill in only the laservice paid by th	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annua  2000  66  69.2  243,498  351,749  Annua  2005  68	Objective and Perform   2001	Final  Final	2003 72 366,27 508,77 Final

Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
			nual Objective and	Perforr		<u>ıta</u>		
	1999	2000	2001		2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective				1		1		
	1.2		1.3	1.1		1.1		1.
Numerator	1,001	1,	115	923		982	-	
Denominator	80,505	84,	985 8	5,213		87,379		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	al
		Anr	nual Objective and	Perforr	nance Da	ıta		
	2004	2005	2006		2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	1		1	1		1		
Annual Indicator								
	Please fill in only th	ne Ohiectives for	r the above years. N	lumerato	or Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator	i lease illi ili olliy ti	ie Objectives ioi	tile above years. I					
			•		o., 2 oo	iii atoi ana	Allitual Illul	cators
Donominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	•		., 20	inator and	Allitual Illul	cators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	ŕ		o., 20o	mator and	Annual mui	cators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.					Allitual Illuli	Cators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.					Allitudi IIIdik	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16		ure year data.				mater and	Allitudi IIIdik	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16			nual Objective and				Allidai muk	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16			nual Objective and 2001				2003	Cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	gh 19.	<u>Anı</u> 2000			mance Da			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	gh 19. <b>1999</b> 17.6	2000	2001	Perforr	mance Da	ı <u>ta</u>		16
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	gh 19. 1999 17.6 10.6	2000	<b>2001</b>	Perforn	mance Da	16.7		16
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000).  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 1999 17.6 10.6 36	2000	2001 117.3 111.1 41	17 10.5	mance Da	16.7 9.9		16
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rat	gh 19. 1999 17.6 10.6 36	2000	2001 117.3 111.1 41	17 10.5 40	mance Da	16.7 9.9 39		16 9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of	gh 19. 1999 17.6 10.6 36	2000 2000 367,	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38	17 10.5 40 0,103	nance Da 2002 Final	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003	16 9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of	gh 19.  1999  17.6  10.6  36  340,321	2000 2000 367,	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38	17 10.5 40 0,103	nance Da 2002 Final	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003 Provisiona	16 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 t	gh 19.  1999  17.6  10.6  36  340,321	2000 367,	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38 hual Objective and 2006	17 10.5 40 0,103	nance Da 2002 Final	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003	16 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15	gh 19.  1999  17.6  10.6  36  340,321	2000 367,	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38	17 10.5 40 0,103	nance Da 2002 Final	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003 Provisiona	16 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 t	gh 19.  1999  17.6  10.6  36  340,321  2004	2000 367,	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38 10.001 16.4	17 10.5 40 0,103 Perform	Final  mance Da  2007	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003 Provisions 2008	16 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19.  1999  17.6  10.6  36  340,321  2004  16.4	2000  367,  Ani  2005	2001 17.3 11.1 41 722 38 hual Objective and 2006	17 10.5 40 0,103 Perform	Final  mance Da  2007	16.7 9.9 39 391,964	2003 Provisions 2008	16 ç

			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		<u>ıta</u>		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective			79.5	80		80.5		8
Annual Indicator			69.5	78.7		77.6	-	77.
Numerator	688		775	657		678		
Denominator	1,00		1,115	835		874		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da	ta		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
	81.5		82	81		81		8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only			above years. Numer	ator, Denom		Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year d			ator, Denom		Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ormance Da	ninator and	Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year d trimester.	ves for the a lata.	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2002	ninator and	Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objectiviture year of trimester.	ves for the a lata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2002	ita 78		-
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year d trimester.	Annual C  76  75.0	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2002	ninator and		7
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year d trimester.	ves for the a lata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2002	ita 78		7
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objectiviture year of trimester.	Annual C  76  75.0	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2002	78 75.7	2003	75
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objectiviture year of trimester.	Annual C  76  75.0  63,697	Objective and Perfo 2001 77 75.5 64,377	ormance Da 2002	78 75.7 66,146		75
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objectiviture year of trimester.	Annual C  76  75.0  63,697  84,985	Objective and Perfo 2001 77 75.5 64,377	2002 Final	78 75.7 66,146 87,379	2003	7 75
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objectiviture year of trimester.	Annual C  76  75.0  63,697  84,985	Objective and Perfo 2001 77 75.5 64,377 85,213	2002 Final	78 75.7 66,146 87,379	2003	75

			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance D	ata		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	17.9		17.9	17.9		17.9		17.
Annual Indicator	20.0		19.5	17.2		14.1		9.
Numerator	55,931		54,495	50,260		41,231		29,61
Denominato	279,770		280,108	291,862		291,862		319,28
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual (	Objective and Perfo	rmance D	ata		
		2005		2006	2007		2008	
	2004	2003						
Denominato	17.9 Please fill in only not required for fu		17.9 ives for the a	17.9 above years. Numera		17.9 minator and	Annual In	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for fu	he Object ture year o					Annual In	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only not required for fu	he Object ture year o	ives for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denor	ninator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 through	he Object ture year o	ives for the a	Objective and Perfo	ator, Denor	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 three second	bugh 19.	Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ator, Denor	ninator and  ata  685		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 three second	he Object ture year of ough 19.	Annual C 695 710.3	Objective and Perfo 2001 659.8	ator, Denor	ninator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for further sescents age 15 three	he Object ture year of ough 19.	Annual C 695 710.3	Objective and Perfo 2001 690 659.8	ator, Denor	ninator and  ata  685		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 through the second age 15 th	he Object ture year of ough 19.	Annual C 695 710.3	Objective and Perfo 2001 659.8	ator, Denor	ata 685 659.8		68 659
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 through the second age 15 th	he Object ture year of ough 19.	Annual C 695 710.3	Objective and Perfo 2001 690 659.8	rmance D	ata 685 659.8	2003	68 659
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 pitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adol  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for further seconds age 15 through the second age 15 th	he Object ture year of ough 19.	Annual C  695  710.3  2,612  367,722	Objective and Perfo 2001 690 659.8	rmance D 2002  Provisio	ata 685 659.8	2003	68 659

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6					
reventable child deaths per 100,000 children under age 18.					
	4000		Objective and Perfor		2002
AI Perference Of the first	1999	<b>2000</b> 19.5	<b>2001</b> 18.5	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective				17.5	17.5
Annual Indicator		18.1	17.5	15.0	1
Numerator	240	247	247	277	
Denominator	1,303,657	1,366,947	1,413,518	1,849,413	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	on Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator			above years. Numera	ioi, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.			
Benominator					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7					
	sitive conditions pe	r 100,000.			
	sitive conditions per		Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	sitive conditions per		Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002	2003
	1999	Annual (	<u>-</u>		
rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective	<b>1999</b> 555	<u>Annual (</u>	2001	2002	51
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen	1999 555 536.0	Annual (2000 545	<b>2001</b> 535	<b>2002</b> 525	51
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 555 536.0 5,481	Annual C 2000 545 528.4	2001 535 489.7	<b>2002</b> 525	51
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514	2000 545 528.4 5,670	535 489.7 5,434	<b>2002</b> 525	51
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514	2000 545 528.4 5,670	535 489.7 5,434	525 489.7	51 489
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514	545 528.4 5,670 1,073,045	535 489.7 5,434	525 489.7 Provisional	51 489.
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514	545 528.4 5,670 1,073,045	535 489.7 5,434 1,109,672	525 489.7 Provisional	51 489.
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514	545 528.4 5,670 1,073,045	535 489.7 5,434 1,109,672	525 489.7  Provisional	51 489 Provisional
e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sen  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514 2004	Annual C 2000  545  528.4  5,670  1,073,045  Annual C 2005	2001  535  489.7  5,434  1,109,672   Dbjective and Perfor 2006  515	2002  525  489.7  Provisional  mance Data 2007  515	51 489. Provisional 2008
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 555 536.0 5,481 1,022,514 2004	Annual C 2000  545  528.4  5,670  1,073,045  Annual C 2005  515	2001  535  489.7  5,434  1,109,672  Objective and Perfore 2006	2002  525  489.7  Provisional  mance Data 2007  515	56 489 Provisional 2008

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9						
Percent of children age 3 through 20 who had their teeth cleaned by a	dentist or dental hy	gienist within the last	year.			
			Objective and Perfor			
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective			58.7	60		62
Annual Indicator			58.7	58.7		58.7
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		<del></del>	<del></del>	Final	Final	
			Objective and Perfor			
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	64	66	66	66		66
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	o Objectives for the	abaya yaara Nymarat	B	A	
			annve vears infilleral	or Denominator and	Annual Indic	ators :
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PEDEORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futi		above years. Numeral	or, Denominator and	Annuai Indic	cators
Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futi	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annuai Indic	cators
Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futi	ure year data.			2003	cators
Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for future	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.	not required for future	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002		7.! 10.3
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective	1999	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001 7.5	mance Data 2002 7.5		7.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 10.3	Annual ( 2000	2001 7.5	mance Data 2002 7.5		7.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 10.3 134 1,303,657	Annual C 2000  7.5  103	Objective and Perfor 2001 7.5 10.3	mance Data 2002 7.5		7.5
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 10.3 134 1,303,657	Annual C 2000  7.5  103	Objective and Perfor 2001 7.5 10.3	mance Data 2002 7.5 10.3	2003	7.5
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 10.3 134 1,303,657	Annual (2000 7.5 103 1,366,947	Objective and Perfor 2001 7.5 10.3	mance Data 2002 7.5 10.3  Provisional	2003	7.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 10.3 134 1,303,657	Annual C 2000  7.5  103  1,366,947  Annual C 2005	2001  7.5  10.3  145  1,413,518  20bjective and Perfor 2006	mance Data 2002 7.5 10.3  Provisional  mance Data 2007	2003	7.: 10.:
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	1999 10.3 134 1,303,657 2004	Annual C 2000  7.5  103  1,366,947	2001  7.5  10.3  145  1,413,518   Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002 7.5 10.3  Provisional	2003 Provisiona	7.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999  10.3  134  1,303,657  2004  7.5	Annual C 2000  7.5  103  1,366,947  Annual C 2005	2001  7.5  10.3  145  1,413,518  20bjective and Perfor 2006	mance Data 2002 7.5 10.3  Provisional  mance Data 2007 7.5	2003 Provisiona 2008	7. 10. 10. 7.

Denominator

		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	·	21.2	21	21	20
Annual Indicator	·	21.2	24.3	24.3	24.:
Numerator	·	404	479		
Denominator		1,903,939	1,969,619		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Provisional	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	20	20	20	20	2

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. Due to small sample sizes by state, field is populated with national measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source of immunization data is the CDC National Immunization Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/NIS/01/toc-01.htm). Previously, the reported rate represented the coverage level for 4DPT, 3OPV and 1 MMR only, because data were not reliably available for the full series recommended by the CDC, which also includes 3 doses of Hep B and 3 doses of Hib. No data were available for this measure for 1998, but the data points for 1999 forward have been changed to reflect the full 4:3:1:3:3 series. Performance objectives were also changed to reflect the change in the measure. Estimates for data points are based on reports for the following date ranges:

1999 = July 1998 through Jun 1999

2000 = "2000"

2001 = Jan 01 through Dec 01 2002 = Jul 01 through Jun 02

2003 = Jul 01 through Jun 03.

The 2003 estimate reflects the immunization status of children by age two for children who were 19-35 months of age, born between August 1999 and November 2001. The estimate tolerates 4.2% error at a 95% confidence level.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 on teen births are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Estimate was based on a multi-stage stratified random sample of students within urban and rural communities with populations of at least 1000 from the 1990 census, and excluding Indian reservations. Methodology included sampling schools with high, medium, and low free and reduced lunch enrollment where classrooms from third grade were then randomly selected. Data collection began in the Fall of 1999 and continued through Spring 2002, representing three complete school years. The percent of third grade children in the state of Arizona who have received protective sealants on at least one permanent molar tooth is 36.2% (95% confidence interval = 31.4% - 41.1%).

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004. Estimates for 2001 and 2002 reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Source: "Mothers Survey," Ross Products division, Abbott Laboratories, Inc. Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported are estimated based on 41 out of 45 birthing hospitals. While all 45 birthing hospitals have universal screening programs, reporting to the ADHS is voluntary, and not all report. Among the 70,267 births at these 41 hospitals, 68,873, or 98% were screened. The best estimate of the numerator for this measure on a statewide basis is 98% of all births:  $91,054 \times .98 = 89,233$ .

Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data source is http://www.census.gov/hhes/hlthins/historic/hihistt5.html. Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The measure reported is the total number of Medicaid members age 1-20 with at least one paid service during the reporting year divided by the total number of members age 1-20 eligible for Medicaid at any time during the reporting year. A single point-in-time estimate of the potentially eligible population is actually smaller than the number of service recipients yielding a result of over 100%.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data source for this measures is birth certificate data for live births. Births in which the birth weight was recorded as being under 450 grams are excluded as a data quality measure, as it is unlikely that these records represent actual birth weights. Only facilities certified by the Arizona Perinatal Trust as level III facilities are included in the numerator. Banner Desert Samaritan became certified as a Level III on 10/1/02. Births occuring there were included in the numerator for 2002 if the date of birth was on or after 10/1/02. Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2004.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

18. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available.

19. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available.

20. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available.

The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available.

21. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The estimate is based on survey data collected in 2001, and no new estimate is available for 2002 or 2003.

22. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available.

23. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available.

The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available.

## FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [Secs 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

JUTCOME MEASURE# (	17
--------------------	----

The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.4
Annual Indicator	6.8	6.7	6.9	6.3	6.3
Numerator	547	568	587	552	
Denominator	80,505	84,985	85,213	87,379	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	6.5
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futu	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	bove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators

#### OUTCOME MEASURE # 02

The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate.

	Annual Objective and Performance Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	2.1	2	2	1.9	1.9	
Annual Indicator	2.4	1.8	4.0	2.2	2.2	
Numerator	13.8	12.5	22.7	13.1		
Denominator	5.7	6.9	5.7	5.9		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	

	Annual Objective and Performance Data					
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		
Annual Performance Objective	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	

**Annual Indicator** 

Denominator

Denominator

Numerator
Numerator
Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	1999	2000		2001	2002	<del></del>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	4.8		4.8	4.7		4.7		4.6
Annual Indicator	4.5		4.3	4.5		4.1		4.
Numerator	363		364	386		360		
Denominator	80,505		84,985	85,213		87,379		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ata .		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	4.6		4.6	4.5		4.5		4
Annual Indicator								
	Discount CHES and the	ne Objective	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor Denon	ninator and	Annual Indica	tors
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objective ure year da	ata.				Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectivure year da	ata.	Objective and Perfor			Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator  TTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure yéar da	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator  TTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure yéar da	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	ata		2
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000	Annual C 2.6 2.4	2001 2.5	mance Da	2.5 2.2		2.
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000	Annual C 2.6 2.4 204	2001 2.5 2.4 201	mance Da	2.5 2.2 192		2.
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000	Annual C 2.6 2.4	2001 2.5	mance Da	2.5 2.2		2.
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000	Annual C 2.6 2.4 204	2001 2.5 2.4 201	mance Da 2002	2.5 2.2 192	2003	2.
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000	2.6 2.4 204 84,985	2001 2.5 2.4 201	Tmance Da 2002	2.5 2.2 192 87,379	2003	2.
Numerator Denominator  PTCOME MEASURE # 04  e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000	2.6 2.4 204 84,985	2001 2001 2.5 2.4 201 85,213	Tmance Da 2002	2.5 2.2 192 87,379	2003	2.
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000	2.6 2.4 204 84,985	2001  2.5  2.4  201  85,213	Final	2.5 2.2 192 87,379	2003 Provisional	2.
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999  2.6  2.3  184  80,505	2000 2005	Annual C 2.6 2.4 204 84,985  Annual C	2001  2.5  2.4  201  85,213  20bjective and Perfor 2006  2.4	Final 2007	2.5 2.2 192 87,379	2003 Provisional	2.
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 2.6 2.3 184 80,505	2000 2005	Annual C 2.6 2.4 204 84,985  Annual C	2001  2.5  2.4  201  85,213  20bjective and Perfor 2006	Final 2007	2.5 2.2 192 87,379	2003 Provisional	2 2 2

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		10.2	10.1	10	
Annual Indicator	10.9	10.8	11.6	10.4	10.4
Numerator	883	928	999	911	
Denominator	81,105	85,637	85,916	88,006	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	manaa Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	10	10	10	10	
Annual Indicator				-	
			above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator ar	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.		above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator ar	d Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06		ure year data.			d Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 06		ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		d Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future 1999 32	Annual o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002	<b>2003</b>
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	1999 32 24.1	Annual ( 2000 31.9 25.3	Objective and Perfor 2001 31.8	mance Data 2002 31.7	<b>2003</b> 31.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 32 24.1	Annual ( 2000 31.9 25.3	2001 31.8 28.8	mance Data 2002 31.7 23.7	<b>2003</b> 31.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 32 24.1 246	Annual ( 2000 31.9 25.3	2001 31.8 28.8 320	mance Data 2002 31.7 23.7	<b>2003</b> 31.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 32 24.1 246	Annual (2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045	2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672	mance Data 2002 31.7 23.7 271 1,144,126 Final	<b>2003</b> 31.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 32 24.1 246 1,022,514	Annual ( 2000  31.9  25.3  271  1,073,045	2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672  Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2002 31.7 23.7 271 1,144,126 Final	2003 31.6 23.7 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 32 24.1 246 1,022,514	Annual (2005) Annual (2005)	2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672   Objective and Perfore 2006	mance Data 2002  31.7  23.7  271  1,144,126  Final  mance Data 2007	2003 31.6 23.7 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	1999 32 24.1 246 1,022,514	Annual ( 2000  31.9  25.3  271  1,073,045	2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672  Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2002 31.7 23.7 271 1,144,126 Final	2003 31.6 23.7 Provisional
Numerator  Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06  The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 32 24.1 246 1,022,514 2004	Annual (2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045 Annual (2005 31.4 de Objectives for the annual (2005 annual	2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672   Objective and Perfore 2006	mance Data 2002  31.7  23.7  271  1,144,126  Final  mance Data 2007	2003 31.6 23.7 Provisional

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 2 Field Name: OM02

Field Name: ON Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5
 Field Name: OM05

Field Name: OM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: AZ 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_15 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: AZ FY: 2005

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To reduce the postneonatal mortality rate.
- 2. Increase the percent of children who have health care insurance/coverage.
- 3. Improve women's health behavior.
- 4. Reduce health disparities between Native Americans, African Americans and the general population.
- 5. All Children/youth SHCN needs are in a system of primary and specialty care.
- 6. All Children/youth SHCN needs have access to a comprehensive, coordinated system of health care.
- 7. Reduce the rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions per 1
- 8. Increase the percent of children who have access to and utilize preventive dental care.
- 9. Children/youth SHCN and their families are involved in all aspects of program planning and policy development.
- 10. Reduce disparity in teen pregnancy rates between Hispanics and the general population.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: AZ APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Developing a mechanism to provide Medicaid payment for a followup system of services for high risk infants. Request assistance in analysis of provider agreements, coverage, billing, and contractor training.	Alternative funding for the specially trained community nurses who provide high-risk perinatal followup services would free up funding that will take kids off the waiting list for services.	Source not identifed
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Development of a system to provide for at least one pre-discharge visit with a community nurse prior to discharge for high risk infants to include development of the telehealth system to serve rural areas.	more intensive followup of infants who may not have evident delays at the time	University of Arizona
	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Bring all newborn screening systems under one data system that is easily accessed by providers	As Newborn Hearing Screening is added to the Newborn Screening program, problems are being found with the Neometrics system which is not compatible with other systems	Source not identified
	Data-related Issues - Needs Assessment If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Development of a statistical model to identify variables associated with unmet needs utilizing the SLAITS data.	Need review/supervision of OCSHCN staff knowedge of hierachical modeling techniques.	Michael Kogan
	Data-related Issues - Needs Assessment If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Development of questions for focus group use in Native American and Hispanic communities.	OCSHCN wants to measure the unmet needs of children and families with special health care needs in racial and ethnically isolated communities.	Office of Health Care Disparities
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the			

performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AZ

SP	#	1
or.	#	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/family planning services.

STATUS: Acti

GOAL To improve the health status of women of childbearing age (15-44 years).

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 150% of federal poverty line) age 15-44 who receive reproductive

health and family planning services.

Denominator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 150% of federal poverty line) age 15-44.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES OWCH Family Planning program data and Family Planning Council data are used for the numerator and Department of

Economic Security Population Statistics estimates are used for the denominator.

SIGNIFICANCE

Title V and Title X family planning clinics provide birth control and other vital health care services to low-income women

and teens including breast and cervical cancer screening, screening and treatment for STDs, HIV and pregnancy testing and counseling, comprehensive physical exams and other primary health care services. Often, family planning clinics are the only source of primary care for women. In 1990, 30.5 million American women were at risk of unintended pregnancy. More than half were low-income teens. If all pregnancies were intended there would be a 45% reduction in births to unmarried women and an almost 90% reduction in births to teens. Unintended pregnancy is a serious public health issue and is costly. Providing family planning services results in substantial savings in both human and fiscal terms. In 1991, the cost of an uncomplicated vaginal delivery was approximately \$4,720, compared to the \$200 per woman per year cost of

contraception (including all related services).

SP# 2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adolescents age 15 through 19.

STATUS: Ac

GOAL Reduce morbidity related to injury and poisoning for children.

**DEFINITION** Injury and poisonings identified by ICD-9 CM principle diagnosis codes in the range 800-999. Measure is limited to Arizona

esidents.

Numerator:

Number of hospitalizations with a principle diagnosis code in the range of 800-999 to Arizona residents age 15-19.

Denominator:

Number of children 15-19.

Units: 100000 Text: Per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator; and

Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit for estimate of population for denominator.

Significance
Injury is the leading cause of death among children one year of age and older, both nationwide and in Arizona. Injury accounts for more premature child deaths than all major diseases combined. From 1985-1995, injury accounted for nearly 70 percent of all child and adolescent deaths among Arizonans ages 1-19, and exceeded the rate of child deaths due to injury for the United States.Many serious injuries do not result in death. Between 1989 and 1992, national data show that for every one child or youth who died from an injury, more than 11 were admitted to a hospital. While there were 1,608 injury-related deaths among children in Arizona from 1989 to 1992, there were 18,873 injury-related hospitalizations during the

same period.

SP# 6

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Preventable child deaths per 100,000 children under age 18.

STATUS:

GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

To reduce the rate of preventable child deaths.

The number of deaths for children under age 18 which were determined by the Child Fatality Review Team to be

preventable.

Denominator:

The number of children under age 18. Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Primary data source is the Child Fatality Review Team with summary data from death certificates as a comparison source.

An issue is the definition of preventable death which is still evolving and may be further refined by the State Child Fatality Review Team.Although it is the goal of the Child Fatality Review Team to review every death, this has not always been accomplished by the time statistics were produced. The measure for 1996 includes a review of 98.5% of child deaths.

Future measures will include a review of 100% of child deaths.

This is a global measure of outcome for all children. Individual programs may target specific causes of child death but this measure looks at all child deaths that could reasonably have been prevented with appropriate intervention. While the number of children who die is relatively small, it is directly related to the much larger number of children who are harmed by **SIGNIFICANCE** 

the same cause.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions per 100,000.

STATUS: Acti

GOAL Reduce the number of children hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions through the distribution of public

awareness materials.

DEFINITION

Ambulatory care sensitive conditions include diagnosis codes indicating any of the following: immunization preventable conditions, convulsions, severe ENT infections (suppurative and unspecified otitis media, pharyngitis, tonsilitis, URI),

conditions, convulsions, severe ENT infections (suppurative and unspecified otitis media, pharyngitis, tonsilitis, URI), tuberculosis, COPD, bacterial pneumonia, asthma, diabetes, hypoglycemia, gastroenteritis, kidney/urinary infection, dehydration, iron deficiency anemia, nutritional deficiencies, pelvic inflammatory disease, and dental conditions.

Numerator:

The number of hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions for children age 1-14.

Denominator:

The number of children age 1-14. **Units:** 100000 **Text:** Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator; and

Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit for estimate of population for denominator.

SIGNIFICANCE

Ambulatory care sensitive conditions are those conditions which would not have required hospitalization if adequate primary care services had been provided. This issue has both medical and financial consequences as children become sicker than necessary before they get treatment and the cost of treatment in an inpatient hospital setting is far higher than treatment in

a physician's office.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children age 3 through 20 who had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist within the last year.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Increase

**DEFINITION** 

Increase the proportion of children receiving preventive dental care.

Numerator:

The number of children age 3 through 20 who are reported to have had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist

within the last year.

Denominator:

The number of children age 3 through 20 living in Arizona.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

This measure is estimated from a statewide survey of a representative sample of children living in Arizona.

SIGNIFICANCE

One of the most significant findings from the year 2000 needs assessment of the MCH population in Arizona was the unmet

need for dental services. This measure will track the proportion of children who get regular preventive dentistry.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the rate of hospitalizations for child abuse among children under age 18.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of hospital admissions for child abuse for children under age 18.

Denominator:

The number of children under age 18 living in the State of Arizona.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator.

Hospital admissions with the following diagnosis codes are included: 995.5x, E904.x, E968.4, V61.21. The source for the population estimate for the denominator is the Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit.

SIGNIFICANCE The Arizona Department of Health Services sought public input from its partners (e.g., community health centers,

adolescent health coalition, foundations, county health departments and other state agencies). Partners were presented with data related to health status indicators, performance measures, and needs assessment. Data were then collected from the partners on what they considered to be critical issues. Two issues emerged as most important: child abuse and violence

against women.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of hospitalizations due to violence against women per 100,000 women age 18 and over.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Reduce the rate of hospitalizations due to violence against women.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of hospital admissions due to violence against women epr 100,000 women age 18 and over.

Denominator:

The number of women age 18 and over living in Arizona.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator.

Hospital admissions with the following diagnosis codes are included: 995.80, 995.81, 995.82, 995.83, 995.84, 995.85, E960-

E969.The source for the population estimate for the denominator is the Arizona Department of Economic Security

Population Statistics Unit.

SIGNIFICANCE The Arizona Department of Health Services sought public input from its partners (e.g., community health centers,

adolescent health coalition, foundations, county health departments and other state agencies). Partners were presented with data related to health status indicators, performance measures, and needs assessment. Data were then collected from the partners on what they considered to be critical issues. Two issues emerged as most important: child abuse and violence

against women.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

HEALTH	SYSTEMS	CAPACITY	MEASURE # 01

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	27.2	25.7	23.3	23.3	23.3	
Numerator	993	982	920			
Denominator	364,634	382,386	395,605			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional	

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose ageis less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	85.4	83.7	87.6	89.2	84.3	
Numerator	33,492	34,712	38,911	42,854	43,509	
Denominator	39,204	41,467	44,403	48,046	51,598	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	59.0	69.5	70.7	76.3	71.8	
Numerator	412	463	649	646	549	
Denominator	698	666	918	847	765	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	65.8	66.4	69.3	69.9	69.9	
Numerator	52,973	57,116	59,036	61,112		
Denominator	80,505	86,045	85,213	87,379		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	32.1	34.0	33.8	41.3	44.0	
Numerator	26,326	27,788	26,392	37,768	47,484	
Denominator	82,070	81,834	78,053	91,453	108,018	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

		Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	62.2	64.2	55.8	56.5	55.2		
Numerator	6,583	6,909	6,527	6,940	7,514		
Denominator	10,590	10,760	11,700	12,280	13,618		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01 Field Name: HSC01

Field Name: HS Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Year: 2005
Field Note:
Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available.
The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04
Field Name: HSC04
Row Name:

Field Name: HSC0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity			POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	for Medicaid, non-Medicaid,   YEAR   DATA SOURCE	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	7.2	6.4	6.8	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Other	0	0	6.3	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	63.9	86.9	75.7	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	60.6	78.9	69.9	

## FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	140_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 0)	2003	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	140

## FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 )		200
(Age range to ) (Age range to )	2003	<u> </u>
c) Pregnant Women	2003	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 05

Section Number: Indicator 05
Field Name: InfantDeath
Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births
Column Name:
Year: 2005
Field Note:
Insurance coverage is not identified on Arizona death certificates, which is the source of data for this measure.

#### FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)		
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes		
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes		
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes		
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No		

- \*Where:

  1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.

  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.

  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	Yes
Other: Newborn Screening	3	Yes
Hearing Screening	3	Yes
Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Report	3	No

## HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: BRFSS	3	No

#### \*Where:

1 = No
2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	ata	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	7.0	7.2	7.0	6.8	6.8
Numerator	5,652	6,101	5,943	5,926	
Denominator	r 80,505	84,985	85,213	87,379	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,	_		Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	5.7	5.7	5.4	5.4	5.4
Numerator	r 4,448	4,754	4,603	4,552	
Denominator	·-		85,213	84,984	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	r 1.2	· ·		1.1	1.1
Numerator	1,001			982	
Denominator		84,985	85,213	87,379	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	r1.0	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9
Numerator	r 815	873	708	730	
Denominator	'	82,714	85,213	84,984	
Denominator			,0	2 .,00 .	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

n aged 14 years a	nd younger.			
		Annual Indicator Da	<u>nta</u>	
1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
11.4		12.9	9.6	9.6
125	131	154	118	
1,098,526	1,150,466	1,189,772	1,226,721	
			Final	Provisional
ged 14 years and	vounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
you youre and ,	ounger add to moter		nta	
1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
5.8	5.8	5.5	4.8	4.8
59	62	65	59	
		1,189,772	1,226,721	
			Final	Provisional
nicle crashes amo	ng youth aged 15 thro			
1000	2000			2003
				2003
				20.0
			770 161	
672,852	730,582	755,386	779,164 Final	Provisional
	1,098,526  ged 14 years and y  1999  5.8  59  1,022,514  hicle crashes amon	1,098,526 1,150,466  ged 14 years and younger due to motor  1999 2000  5.8 5.8  59 62  1,022,514 1,073,045  hicle crashes among youth aged 15 thro  1999 2000  32.0 28.9	1,098,526	1,098,526

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

2003 73.8 73.  Provisional  2003  Provisional  2003  21.3 21. 4,024
Provisional  2003  Provisional  2003  21.3 21.
2003  Provisional  2003  21.3 21.
2003  Provisional  2003  21.3 21.
2003  Provisional  2003  21.3 21.
Provisional  2003 21.3 21.
Provisional  2003 21.3 21.
<b>2003</b> 21.3 21.
<b>2003</b> 21.3 21.
<b>2003</b> 21.3 21.
<b>2003</b> 21.3 21.
21.3 21.
4,024
8,780
Provisional
88,780

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. Estimates for 2003 are provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data for the year 2002 prevent reporting on this measure at this time, and discharge data for the year 2003 are not yet available. The estimates for 2002 and 2003 are provisionally set at the 2001 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B Field Name: HSI05B Row Name:

Field Name: HSI0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Field Note:
Data for 2003 are not yet available. The estimate for 2003 is provisionally set at the 2002 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2004.

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	82,595	71,442	2,860	5,378	1,333			1,582
Children 1 through 4	325,321	280,260	11,566	21,457	5,864			6,174
Children 5 through 9	415,430	355,199	15,921	31,881	6,868			5,561
Children 10 through 14	403,375	344,891	15,459	30,956	6,669			5,400
Children 15 through 19	391,964	340,550	14,039	25,178	8,297			3,900
Children 20 through 24	387,200	336,411	13,869	24,871	8,196			3,853
Children 0 through 24	2,005,885	1,728,753	73,714	139,721	37,227	0	0	26,470

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	48,211	34,384	0
Children 1 through 4	196,208	129,113	0
Children 5 through 9	269,713	145,717	0
Children 10 through 14	261,887	141,488	0
Children 15 through 19	257,863	134,101	0
Children 20 through 24	254,728	132,472	0
Children 0 through 24	1,288,610	717,275	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	206	170	12	18	1	0	0	5
Women 15 through 17	3,952	3,331	152	374	12	7	0	76
Women 18 through 19	7,316	6,148	304	647	59	17	0	141
Women 20 through 34	66,203	57,058	2,034	3,939	1,561	234	0	1,377
Women 35 or older	9,694	8,195	251	567	314	76	0	291
Women of all ages	87,371	74,902	2,753	5,545	1,947	334	0	1,890

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	59	147	206
Women 15 through 17	1,445	2,507	3,952
Women 18 through 19	3,385	3,931	7,316
Women 20 through 34	39,392	26,811	66,203
Women 35 or older	7,006	2,688	9,694
Women of all ages	51,287	36,084	87,371

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	552	467	36	39	9	0	0	1
Children 1 through 4	127	104	7	15	1	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	50	41	2	6	1	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	94	62	5	26	1	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	324	258	15	49	2	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	448	359	23	63	2	0	0	1
Children 0 through 24	1,595	1,291	88	198	16	0	0	2

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	299	253	0
Children 1 through 4	72	55	0
Children 5 through 9	27	23	0
Children 10 through 14	65	29	0
Children 15 through 19	191	133	0
Children 20 through 24	270	178	0
Children 0 through 24	924	671	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,618,685	1,392,342	59,845	114,850	29,031			22,617	2002
Percent in household headed by single parent	23.5	21.1	42.8	31	13.3	24	27.3	25.2	2000
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.9	4	14.3	12.3	3.3			2.7	2002
Number enrolled in Medicaid	465,527	376,773	28,757	51,155	5,421			3,421	2003
Number enrolled in SCHIP	49,897	39,033	1,176	4,145	539			5,004	2003
Number living in foster home care	7,535	5,848	968	477	67			175	2003
Number enrolled in food stamp program	237,315	172,912	19,201	40,043	993	2,238	1,130	798	2002
Number enrolled in WIC	330,975	166,416	151,470	7,835	3,406	1,738	110		2002
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	6,002								2003
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	8.5	5.6	10.4	15.3	3.4				2003

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,038,430	580,256	0	2002
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.8	23.5		2000
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4	6.6		2002
Number enrolled in Medicaid	231,846	230,260	3,421	2003
Number enrolled in SCHIP	19,263	25,630	5,004	2003
Number living in foster home care	4,993	2,367	175	2003
Number enrolled in food stamp program	118,241	119,073	1	2002
Number enrolled in WIC	57,475	107,093	0	2003
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	6.9	11.8		2003

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,428,243	
Living in urban areas	1,427,149	
Living in rural areas	191,536	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,618,685	
		1

Note:
The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

#### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS** DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	5,386,215	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.6	
100% of poverty	14.1	
200% of poverty	33.5	

#### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: AZ

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics) 

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,618,685	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	10.7	
100% of poverty	21	
200% of poverty	41.7	

Source: Current Population Survey 2003, US Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

HSI #06A, #08A and #09A "Asian" includes Hawaiian and other Pacific Islanders.
HSI #07: The age of mother is unknown for 8 births. The total number of births in 2002 was 87,379, including the births to mothers of unknown age.
HSI #10: The proportions from the 2000 Census were applied to the known population total in 2002 to estimate the numbers living in various geographic areas.

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace\_JuvenileCrimeRate
Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The rate reported is all referrals to the juvenile justice system per 100,000 children age 8-17. Rates by race/ethnicity are not reported because although referrals are available by race/ethnicty, population data within the age 8-17 population subgroup are not. The following is a breakdown of the 49,588 referrals in 2003 by race/ethnicity:

Hispanic 18,186 African American 3,224 Anglo 24,748 Native American 2,614 Asian/Pacific Islander 238 Other 165 Unknown 413